## Research Writing Rubric

	Expert	Practitioner	Apprentice	Novice
Purpose & Focus	<ul> <li>Has an engaging hook.</li> <li>Uses a concise, effective thesis statement.</li> <li>Subject and position is clear throughout the paper.</li> <li>Has an effective closing that ties together the big ideas of the paper.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Hook is somewhat interesting.</li> <li>Has a solid thesis statement that clearly explains subject of paper.</li> <li>Remains on topic throughout.</li> <li>Conclusion does not just restate intro, but attempts to connect to the big idea.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>There is an opening, but it does not hook the reader's attention.</li> <li>Thesis is present, but has some issues in clarity.</li> <li>Does not consistent remain on topic.</li> <li>Conclusion is a restatement of introduction.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lacks an opening.</li> <li>Attempt at a thesis, but is seriously flawed.</li> <li>Is consistently off topic.</li> <li>No attempt at proper closing.</li> </ul>
Supporting Details, Analysis, & Elaboration	<ul> <li>Essay clearly and insightfully compares the most significant items.</li> <li>Essay includes not only the relevant information, but also elaborates with evidence/quotes.</li> <li>Excellent balance between student commentary and research.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Essay compares items clearly, but analysis is general.</li> <li>Essay includes only information relevant to comparison or may lack some key elements.</li> <li>Good balance between comments and evidence.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Essay compares items clearly, but analysis is flawed.</li> <li>Paper may include information that is not relevant to comparison.</li> <li>Some imbalance between comments and sources.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Essay attempts to compare, but is severely flawed in analysis.</li> <li>There is little to no supporting information.</li> <li>Analysis of sources is incorrect or incomplete.</li> </ul>
Organization & Structure	<ul> <li>Effective use of the suggested format.</li> <li>Paragraphs follow a logical order, which enhance the overall piece.</li> <li>Flawlessly uses transitions to flow from one idea to the next.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Satisfactory overall use of suggested format.</li> <li>Paragraphs are in a logical order.</li> <li>Most of the writing flows easily from one idea to the next using transitions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Attempted to use the format.</li> <li>Paragraphs aren't logical or in expected order which is distracting.</li> <li>Some use of transitions to flow writing.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No organization of writing.</li> <li>Many details are not in logical order.</li> <li>No use of transitions, which results in no flow from one idea to the next.</li> </ul>
G.U.M.S. (Grammar, Usage, Mechanics, & Spelling)	• Strong grasp of standard writing conventions, which contributes to the effectiveness of the essay.	• Reasonable control over convention skills throughout the piece.	<ul> <li>Some control over convention skills throughout the piece, but errors impair readability.</li> </ul>	• Significant number of conventional errors, which repeatedly distract the reader and make the text difficult to read.